Stallingborough Combined Cycle Gas Turbine (CCGT) Generating Plant and Carbon Capture Plant (CCP) – EN010161

RWE Generation UK plc Section 51 Advice Log

Version: 24 October 2025

There is a statutory duty under <u>section 51 (s51) of the Planning Act 2008</u> for the Planning Inspectorate to record the advice that it gives in relation to an application or potential application, and to make this publicly available.

This document comprises a record of the advice that has been provided by the Inspectorate to the applicant (RWE Generation UK plc) and their consultants during the pre-application stage. It will be updated by the Inspectorate after every interaction with the applicant during which s51 has been provided. The applicant will always be given the opportunity to comment on the Inspectorate's draft record of advice before it is published.

The applicant will use this Advice Log as the basis for demonstrating regard to section 51 advice within the application.

Stallingborough Combined Cycle Gas Turbine (CCGT) Generating Plant and Carbon Capture Plant (CCP) s51 Advice Log - Index	
Date of meeting	Advice overview
Meeting date: 31 October 2024	 Welcome and introductions (All). Planning Inspectorate's Standard Pre-Application Service (PINS). Project update (Applicant) Update on the project programme. Overview of activities since the previous meeting. Update on engagement with stakeholders. Indicative Natural Gas Pipeline and Electrical Grid Connection Corridors (Applicant). Next steps (All). AOB (including date for the next meeting) (All).
Email advice date: 31 October 2025	Review of Programme document
16 July 2025	 Welcome and introductions (All). Planning Inspectorate's Pre-application Services General Project Update Activities Update: Survey Updates Route Corridors Update Engagement with Landowners Update and Compulsory Acquisition update Engagement with Statutory Consultees Update Site Boundary Update Programme update and next steps Any other business and date for next meeting

Email advice date: 24 October 2025	Pre-application prospectus update

Stallingborough Combined Cycle Gas Turbine (CCGT) Generating Plant and Carbon Capture Plant (CCP) - s51 Advice Library	
Topic	Meeting date: 31 October 2024
Planning Inspectorate's Standard Pre- Application Service	The Inspectorate outlined that the new pre-application service commenced on 1 October 2024. One of the key changes is a move from meeting notes to an advice log.
	Invoices are sent twice a year which is a fixed rate. They are sent out in April and October and cover the forthcoming 6 months. These are shown on the Inspectorate's website Nationally significant infrastructure projects: application fees-GOV.UK . Invoices do not set out the hours working on a case, but the accompanying cover would set out the number of chargeable days.
	For the standard service there would be a maximum of six project update meetings per annum, not including evidence plan meetings. The Programme Document should outline when the key meetings would be likely to take place and outline when key resources would be likely to be needed.
	The Inspectorate advised on the process for draft document review in line with the pre-application prospectus, including Inspector review of the Development Consent Order (DCO) and Explanatory Memorandum. The Adequacy of Consultation milestone was intended to de-risk the Acceptance process, allowing the Applicant time to resolve any issues prior to submission. This would be submitted approximately three months before the submission of the Application. The aim of the new service was to de-risk the acceptance and the following stages and to provide more certainty of timescales.
	Feedback on the Programme Document was discussed. The detail is shown below.
	The Applicant asked whether the same Inspector(s) could be appointed to an Examining Authority if they have given advice during the pre-application stage. The Inspectorate advised that amendments to The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010 and The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations

	2009, do not prevent this, however the Inspectorate would need to consider reputational risks in doing so.
Project update	The Inspectorate advised that it would be helpful at future meetings to have an update of negotiations with landowners and overview of Compulsory Acquisition powers likely to be sought in the Development Consent Order.
	The Inspectorate queried if host authorities (North Lincolnshire Council and North East Lincolnshire Council) had responded either formally or informally to the non-statutory consultation and whether there was any direct feedback on the consultation approach. The Applicant advised that neither North East Lincolnshire Council nor North Lincolnshire Council had responded formally. The Applicant advised that an Expert Topic Group meeting was held with host and neighbouring local authorities on 15 August 2024 at which the Applicant detailed the key themes of feedback received from non-statutory consultation activities. The Non-Statutory Consultation Report has been shared with host authorities, the Inspectorate and is available on the Applicant's project website.
	The Inspectorate advised the Applicant to draft and update the Consultation Report to include any additional measures that have been put in place to identify and engage hard to reach groups. It would also be useful to highlight if any consultation responses were received from persons under 18, for GDPR purposes.
Next Meeting	Likely to be February 2025, to be arranged with the Applicant.
AOB	The Inspectorate signposted the Applicant to review the new good design advice page launched on the 23 October 2024; Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects: Advice on Good Design
	The Inspectorate advised that National Policy Statement EN-1 outlines the Critical National Priority infrastructure but also requires applicants to clearly demonstrate application of the mitigation hierarchy.
	The Inspectorate highlighted the volume of NSIPs in the Lincolnshire area and the impact on Local Authority capacity to engage in various stages of consultation. It advised to be mindful of other project consultation timings when developing its pre-application programme. The Applicant noted this and advised that a draft Planning Performance Agreement has

been shared with North East Lincolnshire Council (NELC) to support the additional demands on NELC's resources. The Applicant advised it would seek to coordinate with land owners when requesting access for surveys where possible and aim to share information to avoid repeated requests for access. The Inspectorate advised that concerns had been raised by Local Planning Authorities (LPA) around archaeological sensitivities and scope of survey effort in relation to other NSIP's in the Lincolnshire area. The Applicant was advised to make effort to agree the scope of the survey effort with the LPAs during the pre-application stage. Topic Review of programme document: 31 October 2024 Expert Topic Groups It would be helpful to include timescales for when the Applicant considers these to be helpful/necessary. Project Update Meetings with the Inspectorate It would be helpful to include timescales for when the Applicant considers these to be helpful/necessary. It would be helpful for include timescales for when the Applicant considers these to be helpful/necessary. It would be helpful for include timescales for when the Applicant considers these to be helpful/necessary. It would be helpful for include timescales for when the Applicant considers these to be helpful/necessary. The Applicant should consider separating the draft document review from the targeted consultation in the programme timeline, so these do not run in parallel. The Inspectorate advises that draft document review should follow any targeted consultation, as the more complete the documents are when provided to us, the more thorough our advice can be. However this needs to be balanced against seeking advice on key elements sufficiently early to frame and shape project development. Draft document review This is currently listed in the Programme Document as "Q3 2026". This will need to be refined to ensure Inspectorate resources are available to support the review. Six weeks should be allowed for the Inspectorate of review the documents and provide		,
owners when requesting access for surveys where possible and aim to share information to avoid repeated requests for access. The Inspectorate advised that concerns had been raised by Local Planning Authorities (LPA) around archaeological sensitivities and scope of survey effort in relation to other NSIPs in the Lincolnshire area. The Applicant was advised to make effort to agree the scope of the survey effort with the LPAs during the pre-application stage. Topic Review of programme document: 31 October 2024 Expert Topic Groups It would be helpful to include timescales for when the Applicant considers these to be helpful/necessary. It would be helpful to include timescales for when the Applicant considers these to be helpful/necessary. It would be helpful if the programme for preparation of the SoCC, consultation on the SoCC and consideration of any feedback, is separated. The Applicant should consider separating the draft document review from the targeted consultation in the programme timeline, so these do not run in parallel. The Inspectorate advises that draft document review should follow any targeted consultation, as the more complete the documents are when provided to us, the more thorough our advice can be. However this needs to be balanced against seeking advice on key elements sufficiently early to frame and shape project development. This is currently listed in the Programme Document as "Q3 2026". This will need to be refined to ensure Inspectorate resources are available to support the review. Six weeks should be allowed for the Inspectorate to review the documents and provide feedback. The Applicant should consider the best time to provide draft documents in line with the Inspectorate's published pre-application quidance and provide advanced notice to the Inspectorate of its intention. There should be sufficient time after PINS feedback for the Applicant to address comments before the submission of the application.		,
Local Planning Authorities (LPA) around archaeological sensitivities and scope of survey effort in relation to other NSIPs in the Lincolnshire area. The Applicant was advised to make effort to agree the scope of the survey effort with the LPAs during the pre-application stage. Topic Review of programme document: 31 October 2024 Expert Topic Groups It would be helpful to include timescales for when the Applicant considers these to be helpful/necessary. Project Update Meetings with the Inspectorate Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC) General It would be helpful if the programme for preparation of the SoCC, consultation on the SoCC and consideration of any feedback, is separated. The Applicant should consider separating the draft document review from the targeted consultation in the programme timeline, so these do not run in parallel. The Inspectorate advises that draft document review should follow any targeted consultation, as the more complete the documents are when provided to us, the more thorough our advice can be. However this needs to be balanced against seeking advice on key elements sufficiently early to frame and shape project development. Draft document review This is currently listed in the Programme Document as "Q3 2026". This will need to be refined to ensure Inspectorate resources are available to support the review. Six weeks should be allowed for the Inspectorate to review the documents and provide feedback. The Applicant should consider the best time to provide draft documents in line with the Inspectorate of its intention. There should be sufficient time after PINS feedback for the Applicant to address comments before the submission of the application.		owners when requesting access for surveys where possible and aim to share information to avoid repeated requests for
Expert Topic Groups It would be helpful to include timescales for when the Applicant considers these to be helpful/necessary. It would be helpful to include timescales for when the Applicant considers these to be helpful/necessary. It would be helpful to include timescales for when the Applicant considers these to be helpful/necessary. It would be helpful if the programme for preparation of the SoCC, consultation on the SoCC and consideration of any feedback, is separated. The Applicant should consider separating the draft document review from the targeted consultation in the programme timeline, so these do not run in parallel. The Inspectorate advises that draft document review should follow any targeted consultation, as the more complete the documents are when provided to us, the more thorough our advice can be. However this needs to be balanced against seeking advice on key elements sufficiently early to frame and shape project development. Draft document review This is currently listed in the Programme Document as "Q3 2026". This will need to be refined to ensure Inspectorate resources are available to support the review. Six weeks should be allowed for the Inspectorate to review the documents and provide feedback. The Applicant should consider the best time to provide draft documents in line with the Inspectorate's published pre-application guidance and provide advanced notice to the Inspectorate of its intention. There should be sufficient time after PINS feedback for the Applicant to address comments before the submission of the application.		Local Planning Authorities (LPA) around archaeological sensitivities and scope of survey effort in relation to other NSIPs in the Lincolnshire area. The Applicant was advised to make effort to agree the scope of the survey effort with the
Project Update Meetings with the Inspectorate It would be helpful to include timescales for when the Applicant considers these to be helpful/necessary. It would be helpful if the programme for preparation of the SoCC, consultation on the SoCC and consideration of any feedback, is separated. The Applicant should consider separating the draft document review from the targeted consultation in the programme timeline, so these do not run in parallel. The Inspectorate advises that draft document review should follow any targeted consultation, as the more complete the documents are when provided to us, the more thorough our advice can be. However this needs to be balanced against seeking advice on key elements sufficiently early to frame and shape project development. Draft document review This is currently listed in the Programme Document as "Q3 2026". This will need to be refined to ensure Inspectorate resources are available to support the review. Six weeks should be allowed for the Inspectorate to review the documents and provide feedback. The Applicant should consider the best time to provide draft documents in line with the Inspectorate's published pre-application guidance and provide advanced notice to the Inspectorate of its intention. There should be sufficient time after PINS feedback for the Applicant to address comments before the submission of the application.	Topic	Review of programme document: 31 October 2024
Meetings with the Inspectorate Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC) General It would be helpful if the programme for preparation of the SoCC, consultation on the SoCC and consideration of any feedback, is separated. The Applicant should consider separating the draft document review from the targeted consultation in the programme timeline, so these do not run in parallel. The Inspectorate advises that draft document review should follow any targeted consultation, as the more complete the documents are when provided to us, the more thorough our advice can be. However this needs to be balanced against seeking advice on key elements sufficiently early to frame and shape project development. Draft document review This is currently listed in the Programme Document as "Q3 2026". This will need to be refined to ensure Inspectorate resources are available to support the review. Six weeks should be allowed for the Inspectorate to review the documents and provide feedback. The Applicant should consider the best time to provide draft documents in line with the Inspectorate's published pre-application guidance and provide advanced notice to the Inspectorate of its intention. There should be sufficient time after PINS feedback for the Applicant to address comments before the submission of the application.	Expert Topic Groups	·
Community Consultation (SoCC) General The Applicant should consider separating the draft document review from the targeted consultation in the programme timeline, so these do not run in parallel. The Inspectorate advises that draft document review should follow any targeted consultation, as the more complete the documents are when provided to us, the more thorough our advice can be. However this needs to be balanced against seeking advice on key elements sufficiently early to frame and shape project development. Draft document review This is currently listed in the Programme Document as "Q3 2026". This will need to be refined to ensure Inspectorate resources are available to support the review. Six weeks should be allowed for the Inspectorate to review the documents and provide feedback. The Applicant should consider the best time to provide draft documents in line with the Inspectorate's published pre-application guidance and provide advanced notice to the Inspectorate of its intention. There should be sufficient time after PINS feedback for the Applicant to address comments before the submission of the application.	Meetings with the	•
review from the targeted consultation in the programme timeline, so these do not run in parallel. The Inspectorate advises that draft document review should follow any targeted consultation, as the more complete the documents are when provided to us, the more thorough our advice can be. However this needs to be balanced against seeking advice on key elements sufficiently early to frame and shape project development. Draft document review This is currently listed in the Programme Document as "Q3 2026". This will need to be refined to ensure Inspectorate resources are available to support the review. Six weeks should be allowed for the Inspectorate to review the documents and provide feedback. The Applicant should consider the best time to provide draft documents in line with the Inspectorate's published pre-application guidance and provide advanced notice to the Inspectorate of its intention. There should be sufficient time after PINS feedback for the Applicant to address comments before the submission of the application.	Community	SoCC, consultation on the SoCC and consideration of any
2026". This will need to be refined to ensure Inspectorate resources are available to support the review. Six weeks should be allowed for the Inspectorate to review the documents and provide feedback. The Applicant should consider the best time to provide draft documents in line with the Inspectorate's published pre-application guidance and provide advanced notice to the Inspectorate of its intention. There should be sufficient time after PINS feedback for the Applicant to address comments before the submission of the application.	General	review from the targeted consultation in the programme timeline, so these do not run in parallel. The Inspectorate advises that draft document review should follow any targeted consultation, as the more complete the documents are when provided to us, the more thorough our advice can be. However this needs to be balanced against seeking advice on key elements sufficiently early to frame and shape project
Topic Meeting date: 16 July 2024	Draft document review	2026". This will need to be refined to ensure Inspectorate resources are available to support the review. Six weeks should be allowed for the Inspectorate to review the documents and provide feedback. The Applicant should consider the best time to provide draft documents in line with the Inspectorate's published pre-application guidance and provide advanced notice to the Inspectorate of its intention. There should be sufficient time after PINS feedback for the Applicant to address comments before the submission of the
	Topic	Meeting date: 16 July 2024

Planning Inspectorate's Pre- application Services	The Inspectorate requested the applicant provide clean and track change versions of the updated version of the programme document to easily help identify the changes made.
	The Inspectorate advised that when drafting the consultation report, consideration should be given regarding how to demonstrate regard to statutory guidance under s50 of the PA2008. Tables can be a useful way to outline compliance.
Activities Update:	Noting the location of the proposed development relative to
a. Survey Updates	designated sites, the Inspectorate advised it would be important to work towards agreement with Natural England and other relevant bodies on the scope, extent and timing of
b. Route Corridors Update	the baseline surveys for birds and other ecological receptors, before the submission of the DCO application. Any such agreements should be evidenced as part of the application documentation. Reaching agreement on these matters during the pre-application stage could assist a smoother examination
c. Engagement with	in due course.
Landowners Update and Compulsory	The Inspectorate advised on the importance of early discussions with The Crown Estate to obtain agreements for crown land.
Acquisition update	The Inspectorate highlighted that in the advice note Preparing Applications for Linear Projects , it highlights the usefulness of diagrams in the DCO application to demonstrate why certain
d. Engagement with Statutory	widths of land are needed so land use and acquisition is justified to help the public/ Examining Authority (ExA) visualise the proposals.
Consultees Update	The Inspectorate highlighted the importance of considering potential interactions between the proposed water abstraction and discharge infrastructure and migratory fish qualifying
e. Site Boundary Update	features of the Humber Estuary Special Area of Conservation (SAC) and Ramsar site. The applicant confirmed it was considering all relevant qualifying features of the Humber Estuary SAC, Special Protection Area and Ramsar site in preparing its application.
Programme update and next steps	The applicant confirmed that host Local Planning Authorities (LPA's) would shortly be consulted on the draft Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC), in September 2025. The Inspectorate advised that when evidencing engagement in the consultation report, the applicant should explicitly detail any non-responders or "no comment" responses.
	The Inspectorate highlighted the requirements of the pre- application guidance for the Adequacy of Consultation Milestone (AoCM), especially that it should be a short summary statement, rather than a draft consultation report. It would also be helpful to highlight any concerns raised by local

A atta an lavarina a a	authorities in the AoCM and how the applicant has addressed those concerns. The Inspectorate as part of the review would be assessing whether the consultation was seriously adrift so having site of concerns at that stage is extremely helpful.
Any other business and date for next meeting	All agreed that late September 2025 would likely to be suitable timing for the next project update meeting but applicant will contact the Inspectorate to confirm this.
Topic	Advice date: 24 October 2025
Pre-application prospectus update	IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT UPDATES TO OUR PRE-APPLICATION SERVICES
	Following a 6-month review of our services, our Preapplication Prospectus has been updated: 2024 Preapplication Prospectus. The update log at the bottom of the page summarises the changes and clarifications that have been applied.
	As an applicant with a live project at the pre-application stage of the process, please familiarise yourself with the update and consider how it might affect your pre-application programme and interaction with our services.
	Please note in particular:
	the establishment of land and rights negotiations tracking as a primary service feature – this means it is now expected for all applicants to develop and share a land and right negotiations tracker in 1 of 2 available templates, irrespective of the service tier they have subscribed to
	 clarified expectations of applicants when preparing to interact with the Inspectorate at meetings – including clarified rights for the Inspectorate to delay or refuse service where pre-meeting expectations are not upheld e.g. an updated programme document or issues tracker is not provided, on time, to inform a meeting agenda.
	A new programme document template is available. Whilst there is no current requirement for your project to utilise the template, you may wish to review its content to see if you wish to make any changes to your existing document.

Please can I also draw your attention to paragraph 19, which includes "All meetings between the applicant and the Inspectorate must be agreed in advance through the applicant's programme document. The Inspectorate expects for any materials required to inform discussion at a meeting to be provided to us at least 10 working days before the meeting is programmed to take place. As a minimum, these materials will include: the agenda for the meeting, which has been agreed with the Inspectorate o an up-to-date programme document an up-to-date issues tracker (note that this should be a separate document to the programme document an up-to-date land and rights negotiations tracker any other materials required to inform the agenda" Please note that other materials should normally include a slide pack. Should the applicant provide this, please note that it could be subject to Freedom of Information requests and therefore any commercially sensitive information may wish to be removed. **Topic** Advice date: